

8/15/19

Reforms and Revolution

Is ^{heuristic} ambivalence the best perspective from which to affect change?

The initial dichotomizations:

A: ¹ that ~~which~~ from which unfavorable conditions stem, and ² everything else

B: ¹ agents that see to the conservation of the current conditions in as far as they are deemed unfavorable, and ² those who see to their changing.

A1 - some institution/constituency of power (positive, indefinite)

A2 - that which is not (yet) appropriated/subsumed by A1 (negative, indefinite and infinite?)

B1 - conservative forces (forces that do not deem the current conditions unfavorable enough to ~~want~~ change)

B2 - progressive (^{and/or} ~~or~~ reactionary) forces (forces that deem the current conditions unfavorable enough to change)

B2A - Reformative forces: change from the inside

B2B - Revolutionary forces: change from the outside

Reform appeals to the logic of that which is being changed, while revolution ~~appeals~~ surmounts/overcomes the logic of that which is being changed.

